

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,762	02/19/2002	Wesley Adams	92807-010400	9415
*****	7590 03/16/200 TRAURIG LLP	7	EXAMINER	
	DO AVENUE, SUITE	2400E	BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
SANTA MONI	ICA, CA 90404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/16/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/081,762	ADAMS, WESLEY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	William L. Bashore	2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and I was a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1\\\∑	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed on 08 Is	nuan, 2007				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January 2007</u> .					
·—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
ا (د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-26 and 36-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-26 and 36-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/081,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed 1/8/2007. The filing date of the instant application is 2/19/2002.

2. Claims 9-26, 36-40 pending. Claims 27-31 have been canceled. Claims 9, 12, 22 are independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-11, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marotta et al. (hereinafter Marotta), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0169667 A1, with provisional filing date of March 13, 2001.

In regard to independent claim 9, Marotta discloses an automated media content submission method for use in clearing network advertisements (i.e. checking media spot content) (Marotta Abstract; compare with claim 9 "A method of checking content comprising:").

Marotta discloses receiving an electronic package (a clearance job) containing various content, said job transmitted to a clearance organization, which in turn, is submitted to various organizations for review (Marotta paragraph [0012], [0013], [0014]; compare with claim 9 "receiving an electronic package from a submitter, the electronic package containing at least one content item;", and "viewing a content item;").

Marotta discloses an automated media content submission method for use in clearing network advertisements (i.e. checking media spot content).

Marotta discloses receiving an electronic package (a clearance job) containing various content, said job transmitted to a clearance organization, which in turn, is submitted to various organizations for review (Marotta paragraph [0012], [0013], [0014]).

Marotta discloses an organization entering a comment regarding a content item (Marotta paragraph [0030]). Marotta also discloses different associated organizations (Marotta paragraph [0035]), as well as at least two organizations "advertising standards departments", and "legal users" (i.e. legal organizations) that need to add comments (or further comments) to a job (Marotta paragraph [0061] at top, and paragraph [0058], [0062]). The comments, attachments, etc. are delivered back to the network clearance department). It is noted that commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

Marotta discloses an advertising account containing Project B, in turn containing various clearance jobs associated with clearance of advertising spots (Marotta paragraph [0048]), each job having at least a title (or some form of identifier) assigned to each job, so that each job can be differentiated accordingly. Marotta also discloses metadata fields for organizational purposes, and for defining the content media involved (Marotta paragraph [0052], [0053]).

Marotta discloses a submission form (Marotta Figure 4).

Marotta does not specifically teach a "spot count". However, Since Marotta's invention deals with organization of media jobs into various accounts for clearance and review, etc., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include some form of user submitted count of the number of jobs submitted, providing Marotta the benefit of increased organization, so that all jobs can be accounted for and associated with a user accordingly.

Marotta discloses in section "Background Of Invention" (paragraph [0002]) that an "advertising clearance process ensures that the advertising spots...meet the standards imposed by the Agency's Network

Clearance Department, the advertiser's Legal Counsel,...etc." (underlining added). This implies that "clearance" of a submission is granted pending approval from said departments/counsel, and also implies that various "checks" are made to ensure adherence to said standards. Since Marotta'a invention involves clearance of submissions by various departments, said department(s) reviews (checks) said submissions accordingly (compare with "checking", and "...as a checking process." see also Marrotta paragraphs [0026], [0030]).

Marotta discloses an organization entering a comment regarding a content item (Marotta paragraph [0030]). Marotta also discloses different associated organizations (Marotta paragraph [0035]), as well as at least two organizations "advertising standards departments", and "legal users" (i.e. legal organizations) that need to add comments (or further comments) to a job (i.e. intended recipients) (Marotta paragraph [0061] at top, and paragraph [0058], [0062]). The comments, attachments, etc. are delivered back to the network clearance department (compare with claim 9 "entering a comment on the comment item;", "collecting further comments from one or more entities;", and "transmitting the comments and the further comments to the submitter."). It is noted that commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

Marotta discloses adding comments and/or attachments to a submission (Marotta paragraph [0062]). Marotta also discloses that an Advertising standards department(s) and legal organization(s) can designate an order (submission), as b) Resubmitted order, or c) Preliminary Approval Requested order (video spot is not attached) (see Marotta paragraph [0061]). Item "c" equates to an incomplete user submission, whereby the submitter awaits a decision (said decision can include comments, etc.), to which said submitter, pursuant to approval and/or comment etc., can resubmit the order (item "b"), after changing the content by adding the video spot (compare with claim 9 "...for selectively further action, such further action including at least one of changing the content or disregarding the content.").

In regard to dependent claims 10, 11, Marotta discloses submitting a job to an "Advertising Standards Department, as well as legal organizations (Marotta paragraph [0030], [0061], [0062]). It is noted that

commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

In regard to dependent claim 39, Marotta teaches a master organization managing submissions and authenticating reviews accordingly (see at least Marotta Abstract, Figure 2).

5. Claims 12-26, 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marotta, in view of Pasquali (hereinafter Pasquali), U.S. Patent No. 6,321,209 filed 2/18/1999, issued 11/20/2001.

In regard to independent claim 12, Marotta discloses an automated media content submission method for use in clearing network advertisements (i.e. checking media spot content).

Marotta discloses receiving an electronic package (a clearance job) containing various content, said job transmitted to a clearance organization, which in turn, is submitted to various organizations for review (Marotta paragraph [0012], [0013], [0014]).

Marotta discloses an organization entering a comment regarding a content item (Marotta paragraph [0030]). Marotta also discloses different associated organizations (Marotta paragraph [0035]), as well as at least two organizations "advertising standards departments", and "legal users" (i.e. legal organizations) that need to add comments (or further comments) to a job (Marotta paragraph [0061] at top, and paragraph [0058], [0062]). The comments, attachments, etc. are delivered back to the network clearance department). It is noted that commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

Marotta discloses an advertising account containing Project B, in turn containing various clearance jobs associated with clearance of advertising spots (Marotta paragraph [0048]), each job having at least a title (or some form of identifier) assigned to each job, so that each job can be differentiated accordingly. Marotta also

discloses metadata fields for organizational purposes, and for defining the content media involved (Marotta paragraph [0052], [0053]).

Marotta discloses a submission form (Marotta Figure 4).

Marotta does not specifically teach a "spot count". However, Since Marotta's invention deals with organization of media jobs into various accounts for clearance and review, etc., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include some form of user submitted count of the number of jobs submitted, providing Marotta the benefit of increased organization, so that all jobs can be accounted for and associated with a user accordingly.

Marotta discloses in section "Background Of Invention" (paragraph [0002]) that an "advertising clearance process ensures that the advertising spots...meet the standards imposed by the Agency's Network Clearance Department, the advertiser's Legal Counsel,...etc." (underlining added). This implies that "clearance" of a submission is granted pending approval from said departments/counsel, and also implies that various "checks" are made to ensure adherence to said standards. Since Marotta'a invention involves clearance of submissions by various departments, said department(s) reviews (checks) said submissions accordingly (compare with "checking", and "...as a checking process." see also Marrotta paragraphs [0026], [0030]).

Marotta teaches at Figure 2 "Brand X", "Brand Y", and "Advertising Account 2 (Project B)", which can be reasonably interpreted as separate from Master Organization item 25. Since ads are submitted to said master organization, it is well within reason that an ad can be encoded into video, assigned an identifier (i.e. a title), and given a medium association (i.e. .mpg) outside of said master organization prior to submission. It is also well within reason that an ad submission will contain the ad spot, along with a count (number of files), and recipient(s) facilitating transmission. Marotta does not specifically teach that its ad spots are "sponsor" submitted/related. However, Pasquali teaches a method of presenting advertisement content utilizing a "sponsor" window for displaying sponsor related advertisements (originally submitted by said sponsor at some point) (Pasquali Figure 1 item 114, 116, column 5 line 66 to column 6 line 10, column 7 lines 52-64). It is noted that Pasquali's invention provides a new way of generating advertising revenue which more closely resembles

television timed "spot" ads (Pasquali column 8 lines 14-23, see also column 6 lines 11-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Pasquali to Marotta, providing Marotta the benefit of ads submitted from sponsors, facilitating revenue accordingly.

In regard to dependent claims 13-21, Marotta teaches MPEG files, which is a form of digitized video file (Marotta paragraph [0058]).

Marotta teaches a file description, an ISCI code, and a plurality of job spots (Marotta paragraphs [0047], [0048], [0059]).

Marotta teaches completing/submitting a form (Marotta Figure 4, also paragraph [0052]).

Marotta teaches submission of a tape cassette (Marotta paragraph [0027]).

Marotta teaches that its invention can be used in the television broadcasting industry (i.e. television networks) (Marotta paragraph [0012]).

Marotta teaches returning feedback to a user (Marotta paragraph [0062]).

In regard to independent claim 22, Marotta discloses an automated media content submission method for use in clearing network advertisements (i.e. checking media spot content) (Marotta Abstract).

Marotta discloses receiving an electronic package (a clearance job) containing various content, said job transmitted to a clearance organization, which in turn, is submitted to various organizations for review (Marotta paragraph [0012], [0013], [0014]).

Marotta discloses an automated media content submission method for use in clearing network advertisements (i.e. checking media spot content).

Marotta discloses receiving an electronic package (a clearance job) containing various content, said job transmitted to a clearance organization, which in turn, is submitted to various organizations for review (Marotta paragraph [0012], [0013], [0014]).

Marotta discloses an organization entering a comment regarding a content item (Marotta paragraph [0030]). Marotta also discloses different associated organizations (Marotta paragraph [0035]), as well as at least two organizations "advertising standards departments", and "legal users" (i.e. legal organizations) that need to add comments (or further comments) to a job (Marotta paragraph [0061] at top, and paragraph [0058], [0062]). The comments, attachments, etc. are delivered back to the network clearance department). It is noted that commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

Marotta discloses an advertising account containing Project B, in turn containing various clearance jobs associated with clearance of advertising spots (Marotta paragraph [0048]), each job having at least a title (or some form of identifier) assigned to each job, so that each job can be differentiated accordingly. Marotta also discloses metadata fields for organizational purposes, and for defining the content media involved (Marotta paragraph [0052], [0053]).

Marotta discloses a submission form (Marotta Figure 4).

Marotta does not specifically teach a "spot count". However, Since Marotta's invention deals with organization of media jobs into various accounts for clearance and review, etc., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include some form of user submitted count of the number of jobs submitted, providing Marotta the benefit of increased organization, so that all jobs can be accounted for and associated with a user accordingly.

Marotta discloses an organization entering a comment regarding a content item (Marotta paragraph [0030]). Marotta also discloses different associated organizations (Marotta paragraph [0035]), as well as at least two organizations "advertising standards departments", and "legal users" (i.e. legal organizations) that need to add comments (or further comments) to a job (i.e. intended recipients) (Marotta paragraph [0061] at top, and paragraph [0058], [0062]). The comments, attachments, etc. are delivered back to the network clearance department. It is noted that commercial television advertising spots are closely linked to legal departments (i.e. avoiding contract breaches from competing advertisers, use of jingles, logos, etc.).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,762

Art Unit: 2176

Marotta discloses in section "Background Of Invention" (paragraph [0002]) that an "advertising clearance process ensures that the advertising spots...meet the standards imposed by the Agency's Network Clearance Department, the advertiser's Legal Counsel,...etc." (underlining added). This implies that "clearance" of a submission is granted pending approval from said departments/counsel, and also implies that various "checks" are made to ensure adherence to said standards. Since Marotta'a invention involves clearance of submissions by various departments, said department(s) reviews (checks) said submissions accordingly (see also Marrotta paragraphs [0026], [0030]).

Marotta discloses adding comments and/or attachments to a submission (Marotta paragraph [0062]). Marotta also discloses that an Advertising standards department(s) and legal organization(s) can designate an order (submission), as b) Resubmitted order, or c) Preliminary Approval Requested order (video spot is not attached) (see Marotta paragraph [0061]). Item "c" equates to an incomplete user submission, whereby the submitter awaits a decision (said decision can include comments, etc.), to which said submitter, pursuant to approval and/or comment etc., can resubmit the order (item "b"), after changing the content by adding the video spot.

Marotta discloses a submission form (Marotta Figures 4-5), as well as information about a submission (Marotta paragraph [0058], [0059]).

Marotta teaches at Figure 2 "Brand X", "Brand Y", and "Advertising Account 2 (Project B)", which can be reasonably interpreted as separate from Master Organization item 25. Since ads are submitted to said master organization, it is well within reason that an ad can be encoded into video, assigned an identifier (i.e. a title), and given a medium association (i.e. .mpg) outside of said master organization prior to submission. It is also well within reason that an ad submission will contain the ad spot, along with a count (number of files), and recipient(s) facilitating transmission. Marotta does not specifically teach that its ad spots are "sponsor" submitted/related. However, Pasquali teaches a method of presenting advertisement content utilizing a "sponsor" window for displaying sponsor related advertisements (originally submitted by said sponsor at some point) (Pasquali Figure 1 item 114, 116, column 5 line 66 to column 6 line 10, column 7 lines 52-64). It is noted

that Pasquali's invention provides a new way of generating advertising revenue which more closely resembles television timed "spot" ads (Pasquali column 8 lines 14-23, see also column 6 lines 11-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Pasquali to Marotta, providing Marotta the benefit of ads submitted from sponsors, facilitating revenue accordingly.

In regard to dependent claims 23-26, Marotta discloses encoded files, advertisers, television companies, clearance providers (Marotta paragraph [0052], [0054], [0057], [0030], [0035]).

In regard to dependent claims 36, 37, Marotta teaches a master organization managing submissions and authenticating reviews accordingly (see at least Marotta Abstract, Figure 2).

In regard to dependent claim 38, incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 12, and is rejected along the same rationale.

6. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marotta, in view of Pasquali.

In regard to dependent claim 40, Marotta teaches at Figure 2 "Brand X", "Brand Y", and "Advertising Account 2 (Project B)", which can be reasonably interpreted as separate from Master Organization item 25.

Since ads are submitted to said master organization, it is well within reason that an ad can be encoded into video, assigned an identifier (i.e. a title), and given a medium association (i.e. .mpg) outside of said master organization prior to submission. It is also well within reason that an ad submission will contain the ad spot, along with a count (number of files), and recipient(s) facilitating transmission. Marotta does not specifically teach that its ad spots are "sponsor" submitted/related. However, Pasquali teaches a method of presenting

advertisement content utilizing a "sponsor" window for displaying sponsor related advertisements (originally submitted by said sponsor at some point) (Pasquali Figure 1 item 114, 116, column 5 line 66 to column 6 line 10, column 7 lines 52-64). It is noted that Pasquali's invention provides a new way of generating advertising revenue which more closely resembles television timed "spot" ads (Pasquali column 8 lines 14-23, see also column 6 lines 11-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Pasquali to Marotta, providing Marotta the benefit of ads submitted from sponsors, facilitating revenue accordingly.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2006 have been fully and carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 7 of the amendment that Marotta's Master Organization may, or may not encode, etc. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Since ads are submitted via a submission form, it is well within reason that a media ad is encoded, given a title, identifier, etc., and submitted with a recipient address with said submission form (so said ad can be transmitted/delivered accordingly). Marotta deals with clearance of network advertisements (i.e. TV ad spots) (see Marotta paragraph [0013]), which not only encompass "sponsors", but are typically submitted from outside (and separate from) a television organization.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this

Application/Control Number: 10/081,762

Art Unit: 2176

final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no

event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be

reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather

Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 12

March 14, 2007